



## **Scorecard Category 1 - PROMOTING THE ENTREPRENEURIAL SPIRIT**

Project Name and Number #31 ABC TOTAL SCORE 79
--

	Originality & feasibility	(max 20 points)
	Remarks	
Reasons for the project's success	Stakeholders and potential participants were involved in the development of the solution to a clearly identified issue. The project was well researched and, based on evaluation results, has continued to grow and evolve. It provides a positive financial contribution to the implementation of successful projects.	18
The project's innovative aspects	The project successfully used technology to appeal to its target audience using its website, online forum and blogs and overcome their resistance to 'professional support'.	
	Impact on the economy	(max 30 points)
What was the economic impact of the project?	2008: 114 businesses and 20 co-operatives created with 80% still active. No figures for subsequent years, but it is assumed that they will produce similar results	23
Did it create jobs?	No information given to directly support whether jobs were created	
Can the project be sustained in the future?	Whilst the financial incentive is important, it is likely that the project could continue now that it has achieved some momentum and commercial sponsors could be encouraged to provide the finance	
What are the long term positive effects of the project?	Changing the attitudes of young people towards enterprise and public authority and instilling an entrepreneurial mindset in future generations.	
	Improvement of stakeholder relations	(max 25 points)
Was the local population and/or stakeholders involved in the project?	Improvement of stakeholder relations  X□ YES □ NO Whilst they were involved, it is something that will be enhanced in future editions of the project	(max 25 points)
	X□ YES □ NO Whilst they were involved, it is something that will be	
stakeholders involved in the project?	X□ YES □ NO Whilst they were involved, it is something that will be enhanced in future editions of the project	
stakeholders involved in the project?  Did disadvantaged communities benefit?  Were local cultural, environmental and	X□ YES       □ NO Whilst they were involved, it is something that will be enhanced in future editions of the project         X□ YES       □ NO This was clearly one of the strategies.         X□ YES       □ NO Although little direct evidence provided, assumption	
stakeholders involved in the project?  Did disadvantaged communities benefit?  Were local cultural, environmental and social characteristics respected?  Was the communication strategy	X☐ YES ☐ NO Whilst they were involved, it is something that will be enhanced in future editions of the project  X☐ YES ☐ NO This was clearly one of the strategies.  X☐ YES ☐ NO Although little direct evidence provided, assumption has been made based on identified strategy and results.	
stakeholders involved in the project?  Did disadvantaged communities benefit?  Were local cultural, environmental and social characteristics respected?  Was the communication strategy	X□ YES       □ NO Whilst they were involved, it is something that will be enhanced in future editions of the project         X□ YES       □ NO This was clearly one of the strategies.         X□ YES       □ NO Although little direct evidence provided, assumption has been made based on identified strategy and results.         X□ YES       □ NO This is one of the most effective aspects of the project	18
stakeholders involved in the project?  Did disadvantaged communities benefit?  Were local cultural, environmental and social characteristics respected?  Was the communication strategy effective?  Could the approach be repeated in the	X□ YES       □ NO Whilst they were involved, it is something that will be enhanced in future editions of the project         X□ YES       □ NO This was clearly one of the strategies.         X□ YES       □ NO Although little direct evidence provided, assumption has been made based on identified strategy and results.         X□ YES       □ NO This is one of the most effective aspects of the project         Transferability         X□ YES       □ NO This would be an easily transferable project and in fact	18 (max 25 points)

**2. Summary of your impressions of the entry:** A well thought through, clear and concise entry. Plenty of relevant detail and supporting evidence, would have liked more information on results achieved. Impressed with evaluation that has taken place.